



PTO/SB/92 (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on June 26, 2003
Date

RECEIVED

JUN 26 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Lisa Z. Turner
Signature

LISA Z. TURNER

Type or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

09/464,528
BB1205 US NA
RESPONSE AFTER FINAL - 5 PAGES
POSTCARD

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



#31 AF
Ondt E
PATENT (nd)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE APPLICATION OF:

SAVERIO CARL FALCO

CASE NO.: BB1205 US NA

APPLICATION NO.: 09/464528

GROUP ART UNIT: 1638

FILED: DECEMBER 15, 1999

EXAMINER: CYNTHIA E. COLLINS

FOR: S-ADENOSYL-L-METHIONINE SYNTHETASE
PROMOTER AND ITS USE IN EXPRESSION OF
TRANSGENIC GENES IN PLANTS

RECEIVED
JUN 26 2003
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated April 22, 2003 finally rejecting claims 13-24 of the above-identified application. Applicants respectfully request entry of this Response After Final because it is believed to place the claims in form for allowance or, in the alternative, to place the claims in better form for consideration on appeal. Reconsideration is respectfully requested and the following is submitted in support thereof.